

55/

# Employees' Pension Scheme - 95

Valuation as on  
31.03.2003

Mrs. K. A. Pandit Consultants & Actuaries

A MILLIMAN COMPANY



**Milliman**

*Consultants and Actuaries*

Churchgate House, 32/34, V.N. Road, Fort Mumbai Tel : 022-2204 2231  
Fax : 022 - 22883155 email : [kapandit@vsnl.com](mailto:kapandit@vsnl.com) : web : [www.ka-pandit.com](http://www.ka-pandit.com)

PARTNERS :

D. K. PANDIT  
B.Sc., LL.B., A.I.A. (London), F.A.S.I.  
APPROVED VALUER  
REGD. NO CAT/X-3 OF 1988  
AKSHAY PANDIT (I. Tech.)  
B.Sc., A.A.S.I.

M. G. DIWAN  
M.Sc., F.I.A. (London) F.A.S.I. F.I.I.

N. K. PARIKH  
M. Com., LL.B., A.I.A. (London), F.A.S.I.

P. I. MAJMUDAR  
B.Com., F.I.A. (London), F.A.S.I., F.C.I., F.I.I.



*A Milliman Global Firm*

Fax : (91-22) 22883155

Tel. : (91-22) 22042231

(91-22) 56318420

Website : [www.ka-pandit.com](http://www.ka-pandit.com)

E-mail : [kapandit@vsnl.com](mailto:kapandit@vsnl.com)

**M/S. K. A. PANDIT**

CONSULTANTS & ACTUARIES  
CHURCHGATE HOUSE, 2nd FLOOR,  
VEER NARIMAN ROAD, FORT,  
MUMBAI - 400 001.

To  
Employees' Provident Fund Organisation  
(Ministry of Labour Government of India)  
Bhavishyanidhi Bhavan  
14 Bhikaji Cama Place  
New Delhi 110066

Dear sirs;

As desired by you in your letter Pen/Actuarial/18(1)2003 7<sup>th</sup> Val./ Dated 19<sup>th</sup> February 2004, we have conducted the 7<sup>th</sup> Valuation of Employees' Pension Scheme as on 31.03.2003, and have pleasure to submit our report on the same.

Thanking you

Yours faithfully  
For M/S. K.A.PANDIT

(MG Diwan)

Dated : 12<sup>th</sup> August 2004

ARTNERS .

. K. PANDIT  
Sc., LL.B. A.I.A. (London), F.A.S.I  
PROVED VALUER  
EGD. NO CAT/X-3 OF 1988  
KSHAY PANDIT (I. Tech.)  
Sc., A.A.S.I

. G. DIWAN  
Sc., F.I.A. (London), F.A.S.I., F.I.I.I

. K. PARIKH  
Com., LL.B. A.I.A. (London), F.A.S.I.

I. MAJMUDAR  
Com., F.I.A. (London), F.A.S.I., F.C.I.I., F.I.I.I



A Milliman Global Firm

Fax: (91-22) 22883155

Tel.: (91-22) 22042231

(91-22) 56318420

Website: [www.ka-pandit.com](http://www.ka-pandit.com)

E-mail: [kapandit@vsnl.com](mailto:kapandit@vsnl.com)

## M/S. K. A. PANDIT

CONSULTANTS & ACTUARIES  
CHURCHGATE HOUSE, 2nd FLOOR,  
VEER NARIMAN ROAD, FORT,  
MUMBAI - 400 001.

To  
The Secretary  
Ministry of Labour  
Government of India  
Room 110  
Shram Shakti Bhavan  
Rafi Marg  
NEW DELHI

Dear sirs;

### Sub : 7<sup>th</sup> EPS-95 Valuation Report

We have pleasure in submitting the 7<sup>th</sup> Valuation Report on Employees' Pension Scheme 95 as attached.

Thanking you

Yours faithfully  
For M/S. K.A.PANDIT

(MG Diwan)

Dated : 12<sup>th</sup> August 2004

1

**PARTNERS :**

**D. K. PANDIT**  
B Sc., LL.B., A.I.A. (London), F.A.S.I.  
APPROVED VALUER  
REGD. NO. CAT/X-3 OF 1986  
**AKSHAY PANDIT (I. Tech.)**  
B Sc., A.A.S.I.

**M. G. DIWAN**  
M.Sc., F.I.A. (London) F.A.S.I., F.I.I.

**N. K. PARIKH**  
M. Com., LL.B., A.I.A. (London), F.A.S.I.

**P. I. MAJMUDAR**  
B Com., F.I.A. (London), F.A.S.I., F.C.I.I., F.I.I.



*A Milliman Global Firm*

Fax : (91-22) 22883155

Tel : (91-22) 22042231

(91-22) 56318420

Website : www.ka-pandit.com

E-mail : kapandit@vsnl.com

**M/S. K. A. PANDIT**

CONSULTANTS & ACTUARIES  
CHURCHGATE HOUSE, 2nd FLOOR,  
VEER NARIMAN ROAD, FORT,  
MUMBAI - 400 001.

**Employees' Pension Scheme, 1995. (EPS 95)**

**Executive Summary of Seventh valuation report as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2003.**

I have completed the valuation of the assets and liabilities of the EPS 95 as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2003.

**Information about members:**

The total membership of the EPS 95 Scheme as on the valuation date was 2,74,87,216 out of which data in respect of 1,29,71,587 members were provided. On a thorough scrutiny the information about 41,53,154 members was found consistent and complete. Based on this sample, after a careful study of the exits on various counts from the EPS and EPF Schemes over the last five years, a projection was made to arrive at the estimate of information of all members in respect of age, salary and duration since entry. The valuation was made on the basis of this projection.

**Investments:**

The funds of the EPS 95 Scheme are partly held in the Public Account with the Government of India and the balance is held with the EPS Authorities. The amount in the Public Account is built up by adding Government Contribution of 1.16% to the opening balance as on 15-11-95. The Government has, also, been adding interest to the account balances. Currently the rate at which interest is being credited is 8.5% per annum. No payments are made out of this account. The balance of the fund with the EPS authorities is utilised to pay the Scheme benefits and also to meet administrative expenses. The balance of the Fund is invested as per the guidelines of the Government by the Fund Manager, SBI. This process is regularly reviewed and subjected to periodical audit by the SBI. The officials of the EPS are associated with this review. It is necessary to strengthen this process further with the increased association of the EPS officials.

The Government of India has recently set up a Pension Regulatory Authority which is expected to authorise some Fund Managers to manage the Pension Funds.



The regulations that may be laid down for investment of the funds are expected to be more liberal than the regulations applicable now to the Pension Funds. It is recommended that the same regulations be made applicable to the EPS Funds invested through the SBI as Fund Manager.

The investments of the EPS are valued at the Book value, which is the purchase price subject to the maximum limit of the face value. This is a safe and satisfactory process.

The book value of the investments is therefore taken for the valuation.

**Interest Rate:**

As the interest rates at which investments can be made have been falling the interest rate used for the valuation has been reduced from 9% per annum used in the sixth valuation to 8.5% per annum for this valuation.

**Salary increases:**

The annual salary increase of members assumed for this valuation has been taken at 7.5% for this valuation. This has been done as it was felt that 7.5% increase was in line with the current experience.

**Withdrawals:**

The withdrawal rates used for the valuation are based on the experience of this scheme and similar such schemes.

**Valuation Results:**

The valuation has disclosed a deficit of Rs. 19,291 crores as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2003. This represents an excess of value of liabilities over the value of assets on that date. This amount is significantly large and calls for corrective action. But if we look at the increment in liability it is 2,165 crores as compare to last valuation, which was 17,083 crores, which was mainly due to revision in the salary ceiling of Rs. 5,000 p.m. to Rs. 6,500 p.m. The deficit this year is mainly due to change in the valuation assumptions since last year reflecting the current economic conditions. The measures for corrective action recommended in the next para should be considered for implementation.

(10/2)



Recommendations:

- a) The retirement age for members may be increased from 58 to 60 years. This measure alone can cover the current deficit.
- b) Withdrawals under the scheme need to be controlled. A review of all the benefits on withdrawal need to be made. Particularly the Tables B and D under Para 14 need to be revised downwards in view of lower interest prevalent now.
- c) The existing contribution rate of 8.33% (Excluding Government Contribution of (1.16%)) should be raised suitably or the benefits under the scheme be reduced suitably.
- d) Any change in the scheme benefits should always be done only after actuarial assessment and the recommendations the Actuary, may make, should be simultaneously implemented.

Introduction :

I have been requested by the EPS Organisation to conduct the Fifth, Sixth, Seventh and Eighth Actuarial Valuations of the EPS 95 as at 31<sup>st</sup> March 2001, 31<sup>st</sup> March 2002, 31<sup>st</sup> March 2003 and 31<sup>st</sup> March 2004 respectively. I have the pleasure to give hereunder the Report on the Seventh valuation conducted by me as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2003.

The purpose of the valuation is to assess the values of assets and liabilities on the date of valuation and thus to determine whether there are enough assets to cover the liabilities; if so, to recommend any enhancements that may be made in the benefits under the Scheme and if not, to make such recommendations that are necessary to make the Scheme viable.

History :

The Scheme has been brought into effect from 16<sup>th</sup> November 1995 in replacement of the Family Pension Scheme 1971. The Scheme envisages that with a diversion



of 8.33% out of the Employer's contribution and the diversion of 1.16% contribution going into the Family Pension Scheme to Provident Fund, pension benefits be provided to the members from their age of 58 years or to their dependants if the member dies while in service. Details of the pension benefits payable and the various options available there under are not stated here as they are detailed in the Scheme itself.

There are two categories of establishments covered under the Scheme. One category consists of establishments that are exempted from Provident Fund, the other consists of establishments not so exempted. The implementation of the Scheme as regards the second category was relatively simple as the EPS organization itself was receiving the Employers' contributions out of which 8.33% was required to be diverted to the Pension Scheme. The employers under the first category were however not readily willing to do so. They approached various High Courts challenging the legal validity of the Scheme. The matter has recently been decided by the Supreme court in favor of the Scheme. Thus for all practical purposes the EPS 95 Scheme is currently applicable fully to the unexempted establishments, with some of the exempted establishments getting the benefits under the Family Pension Scheme only. Now that Supreme Court has decided the matter, the EPS95 should be applicable to exempted establishment also.

Six actuarial valuations have been made earlier to this valuation; the first as on 16<sup>th</sup> November 1996, the second as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 1998, the third on 31<sup>st</sup> March 1999 and then yearly valuation on each year end 31<sup>st</sup> March, last valuation was done on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2002. The first valuation was also independently reviewed by a group of two actuaries. A similar review of the Fourth valuation has recently been completed. The reports of the four actuarial valuations as also the reports of the two reviews were made available to me. I will like to place on record my appreciation of the pioneering work done by the Actuary who conducted the first four valuations as also by the reviewers. I also had the benefit of personal discussions with the Actuary who conducted the earlier four valuations, the two reviewers of the First valuation as also the two reviewers of the Fourth valuation. I have conducted the Fifth and Sixth valuations last year. The EPS 95 authorities have also provided full cooperation in the preparation of the data and clarification on points raised from time to time. I am convinced that the EPS authorities have done their best in providing the necessary information and clarifications and they deserve to be complimented for the same.

11/11/02



Number of Members:

The number of members covered under the Scheme was 2,55,72,161 as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2002. During the year 2002-2003 there was addition to this number through new employees and deletion on account of exits by various clauses. The number of members thus rose to 2,74,87,216 showing a net increase of 19,15,055. The details are given in Table 1 below:

**TABLE -1**

Number of Members as on 1st April 2002	<b>25,572,161</b>
Exits during 2002-03:	
Death in Service	59,089
Superannuating	63,233
Retirements	64,366
Short Service Pensioners	197,710
Cessation (Withdrawals)	1,438,031
Disablements Retirements	207
Total Exits by all Causes	1,822,636
Total Net of Exits	23,749,525
New Employees joining during 2002-03	3,737,691
Number of Members as on 31st March 2003	<b>27,487,216</b>

Available information about members:

Out of the number of members of 2,74,87,216 the EPS 95 authorities were able to provide data in respect of 1,29,71,587 members i.e. about 47% of the total number. After a detailed analysis of this available data it was revealed that the information provided was complete and consistent in respect of 41,53,154 members only. This was taken as a starting point for estimating the data in respect of all the members. Though up till now Unclaimed Deposits have not been considered as a part of valuation, attention is to be given to the fact that there are certain amount of Unclaimed Deposits, for which member is entitled to the benefit, in absence of statistics, it is not possible to include them in this valuation. In future we

mev





recommend to collect the proper data on Unclaimed Deposits to be reflected properly in the valuation.

The available data, which constitutes just about 15.11 % of the total data, cannot be construed as a representative or a random sample of the total data. It was apparent from the study of the available data that it contained a larger proportion of older members than in the population of members. A large number of new members have been joining the scheme every year mostly at younger ages and a large number were leaving the service at relatively older ages. A detailed study was therefore made of the exits for various reasons during the last five years separately for EPS 95 members and the EPF members. On a careful analysis of this data a projection was made about the likely distribution of the total membership of the Scheme agewise along with duration since entry and salary. The pattern of distribution of these projected figures according to age, salary and duration since entry revealed a satisfactory picture and therefore these figures were adopted for the purpose of the valuation. I have reasonable confidence that they represent the population of members for all practical purposes. This procedure was similar to the procedure followed for the Fifth valuation as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2001 and repeated in the sixth valuation as on 31.03.2002. These projections are consistent with those projections.

**Number of Pensioners:**

The number of pensioners receiving pensions increased from 12,72,556 as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2002 to 14,41,676 as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2003. The comparative categorywise figures are given in Table 2 below:

**TABLE -2**

Category / As On	31.03.03	31.03.02
Member Pensioners	750,657	678,303
Widow Pensioners	387,752	348,276
Child Pensioners	290,916	241,111
Orphan Pensioners	5,808	4,866
Others	6,537	
Total	1,441,670	1,272,556

my



Out of these 14,41,670 pensioner's data in respect of 13,25,457 pensioners was made available. These data appeared to be adequate and representative of the total data. Figures of data in respect of all the pensioners projected from these available figures were therefore used for the purpose of this actuarial valuation.

#### Assumptions for Actuarial Valuation:

The process of actuarial valuation of the scheme involves some assumptions about future experience of certain parameters. The main elements involved therein are mortality, withdrawal rates, and pattern of salary increases and movement of interest rates. Usually these assumptions are determined on the basis of the study of past experience, assessing the present environment and modeling what could happen in the future. Let us examine these main parameters separately.

#### Mortality:

The available data regarding deaths in service is indicative of a mortality experience better than the general population and more or less comparable to the experience of the Life Insurance Corporation of India in respect of its policyholders as per the LIC (1994-96) Mortality experience. For the purpose of the first four valuations the valuing Actuary had applied a Mortality Table derived from the experience of the EPF and EPS Schemes. I have a feeling that due to the limitations of the data available under the Schemes the rates of mortality developed there from can only provide a guidance for comparison with a Standard Table. It was advisable to use a Standard Table for an actuarial valuation unless the experience indicates a significant deviation from the Standard Table. In my view therefore it will be advisable to use the LIC (1994-96) Table for the purpose of this valuation. This was the Table used for the purpose of the Fifth and sixth valuations, though the reviewer of Fourth valuation felt otherwise.

#### Withdrawal Rates:

The experience of the Scheme indicates a large outflow by way of withdrawals from the Scheme. The Scheme covers a large number of small establishments with less than 100 employees and a small number of large establishments employing more than 100 employees. Usual pattern of premature voluntary exits are not unusual in small establishment. It has been the general experience when such establishments are individually examined. Withdrawal Rates generally experienced

*me*



by such establishments have been analysed by M/s K. A. Pandit, a firm of Consulting Actuaries with which I am associated, as a partner. The firm has published withdrawal rates developed on the basis of this analysis. I have adopted these rates for this valuation also as was done for the Fifth and sixth valuations as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2001 and 31<sup>st</sup> March 2002 after satisfying myself that they generally fit in with the past experience of this Scheme.

**Salary increases:**

The pattern of salary increases also follows the pattern of experience of small establishments. After a study of the average salaries according to duration of service in my opinion, an increase at an average rate of 7.5% per annum seems to be adequate for the future particularly in view of falling interest rates and controlled inflation rates.

**Interest rates:**

During the last couple of years the rates of return available on investment instruments permissible for such funds are decreasing. We should, however, bear in mind that this valuation is as of 31<sup>st</sup> March 2003 and should, therefore, take a view as of that date. The experience of the Scheme indicates that a rate of interest of 8.5% per annum as on that date was not unreasonable for accumulations of contributions as also for the purpose of the valuations of pensions. The Pension Fund is carrying on its investments at book values and the Fund holds quite a sizeable proportion of investments bearing coupon rates higher than 8.5%. The general practice of the Fund is to carry these investments to maturity. Thus this level of return will be available to the Fund for some years to come. The Fund is required to follow an active investment policy so that the highest possible return is available on its investments.

**Other minor assumptions:**

The process of valuation also involves some assumptions in respect of several parameters like proportion of married members, difference in ages of spouses, number of children in the family, possibilities of remarriages of widows and widowers, etc. The data available through censuses have been used for the purpose as was done in the Fifth and sixth valuations. These assumptions have very minor impact on the valuation results.

*MMS*



Special provisions:

Provisions have been made in the valuation in respect of expenses the Fund has to bear and for reserve to take care of any inaccuracies resulting from incomplete data.

Results of the Valuation:

The results of the Actuarial valuation based on the projected figures of members and pensioners and on the assumptions detailed above have revealed a deficit of Rs. 19,291 crores. The details of values of assets and liabilities as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2003 are shown in Table 3 below:

**Table -3**  
Valuation As on 31.03.2003

Assets	Rs. Crs	Liabilities	Rs. Crs
Fund balance	49,043	Pension Payable to Members	91,084
Future contr @9.49%	90,671	Death in Service	14,036
		Withdrawal Benefits	42,476
		Pension in Payment	10,719
		Expenses	460
Deficit	19,291	Reserve	230
Total	159,005		159,005

The results of this valuation are not unexpected. There was a large deficit in the last valuation as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2002, which was mainly due to the increase in the maximum salary eligible for pension from 1<sup>st</sup> June 2001. The increase in the deficit this year is not very large, this is typically due to reduction in average salary from last year, and reduction in the average age. Unless some remedial steps are taken early the size of the deficit will grow in the future. These steps have been listed in the concluding remarks that follow. As changes can be given effect to prospectively only the same could be considered in the light of the report on the Eighth valuation.

m/z



### Concluding Remarks.

The Seventh valuation as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2003 of the EPS 95 has thus disclosed a deficit of Rs. 19,291 crores. This situation was indicated by the trend disclosed by the earlier valuations. There was yet another factor that aggravated the situation in the form of declining interest rates which led to reduction in yield on the funds invested and also needed the reduction in the rate of interest assumption in this valuation to 8.5% per annum. The position was further aggravated in the Sixth valuation because the upper limit of eligible salary for pension has been raised from Rs. 5,000/- to Rs. 6,500/- with effect from 1<sup>st</sup> June 2001, i.e. before the date of the Sixth valuation. Though there will be corresponding increase in receivable contribution in the future, there is no way of collecting the additional contribution from members who had already been members of the scheme before the date of the change. This effect is reflected in the seventh valuation also.

Interestingly while there is an increasing deficit in the Fund the size of the Fund balances have been increasing from year to year. This is not an unusual phenomenon. In most such funds worldwide the contribution received from members in service taken together with the returns on the accumulations in the Funds invested exceed the outgo in the form of pension payments and incidental expenses. Thus, though the Fund balances increase, the growth in future liabilities in the form of pension payable to pensioners exceeds the growth in Funds invested leading to the increase in deficits. This position is likely to continue in this Fund for several years to come. Thus there is no problem in the generation of cash flow. Nevertheless it is usual to suggest remedial measures to eliminate the deficits altogether.

As stated in the report on the sixth valuation as on 31<sup>st</sup> March 2002, this valuation has also been made as if the Fund is closed for future new entrants; while in fact it is open for such entry. This is on the assumption that new entrants will bring in enough contributions to the Fund to meet the liabilities of benefits to them. Analysis has been done on the sustainability of the current contribution rate of 8.33% to finance the current benefits. It was seen that this rate is adequate for new members entering at less than 31 year of age. As we do not expect the entry age to be as low as 30 for all new entrant, further the future interest rates are expected to reduce, these will reduce the chance of covering the current deficit from the new entrants. Increasing the current rate to 10.33% from 8.33% (Excluding

122



Government Contribution of 1.16%) with immediate effect will eliminate current valuation shortfall. In case this increase in rate is not possible, pensionable age may be increased to 60 from current 58 years.

During the period following the date of valuation, significant changes have taken place. Firstly the Supreme Court gave a decision confirming validity of the scheme. The PF Authority therefore, expects that all the organisations, which had filed the petition in the Supreme Court, will comply with the EPS-95 requirement or apply for exemption afresh. In the current economic environment, practically no organisation can offer a pension scheme better than EPS-95. A number of such organisations, therefore, are expected to make lump sum contributions for the past and contribute in the future to the fund. Number of members will increase substantially with corresponding increase in the fund. Though there will be corresponding increase in liability, it is expected that the fund position will improve because most employees from these organisations are from relatively high salary earners. On the other hand, the second change of further fall in interest rates including the interest rate on compulsory deposit, is going to adversely affect the future. It remains to be seen as to what would be the net result. We do not expect the situation to be much different from what it is. The full impact of these changes is likely to be revealed when the ninth valuation as at 31.03.2005 is completed, when bulk of the exempted organisation would have joined the scheme.

The measures that could be considered are as follows:

1. There is need to establish a machinery to oversee the operations of the Fund Manager by the PF Authorities. The Government is planning the approval of Fund Managers under the new Pension Regulatory Authority. The flexibility in investment pattern that may be allowed to these Fund Managers should also be extended to the Fund Managers of the EPS 95. The SBI has been assessing the effectiveness of the operations by a system of internal audit, there is need for greater involvement of the EPS Authorities.
2. Whenever there is a proposal to increase the eligibility limit for pension the impact of the same should be assessed actuarially and concurrent steps be taken to implement the recommendations that the Actuary may make. This will ensure that such changes do not lead to enlarging the deficit. The increase in the pension eligibility salary from Rs. 5,000/- to the figure of Rs.

*mcy*

6,500/- made effective from 1<sup>st</sup> June 2001 has increased the liability, to the extent of about Rs. 10,000/- crores.

3. The rate of contribution on salary should be suitably raised for the future from 8.33% to 10.33% as suggested above or in the alternatives benefits could be reduced for the future. This should be done after a proper examination of the scheme benefits by a team consisting of at least three Actuaries among others.
4. As suggested above, the age of normal retirement should be raised from 58 years to 60 years. Retirements at age 58 should be treated as early retirement. However employees continuing in service after attaining 60 years would be eligible to receive pension in the same manner as employees in service beyond 58 years as at present. The three percent deduction made for each year of early retirement needs to be raised to five percent with the upper limit on such deduction being raised to 50% from the present 25%. An assessment made on the basis of this valuation, indicates that the entire deficit would be wiped out if this recommendation is implemented
5. Immediate steps should be taken to ensure complete database for the valuation. While it should be our aim to get 100% of the data, at the same time, we must ensure its quality in terms of completeness and accuracy. Conclusions drawn from an inadequate database cannot be as satisfactory as could be drawn from a reliable database. The expected further improvement in the quality of database for the ninth valuation can lead to more reliable conclusions. We also understand that the steps have been taken to improve both quality and quantity of the data.
6. Immediate steps should be taken to set up an Actuarial Department in the EPS organization as recommended by the actuary in the previous valuations. Detailed recommendations regarding the composition of the Actuarial Department are being annexed. It should be the responsibility of this Department to take care of the database both as regards quantity and quality.
7. Arrangements should be made in association with a team of Actuaries to investigate the past experience of the Scheme in relation to mortality and withdrawal rates.

8. The experience of the Scheme so far indicates that there are a large number of withdrawals from the Scheme thus defeating the social security objective of the Scheme. Apparently there is also a sizeable withdrawal from the scheme by persons who leave one employment taking the withdrawal benefits and joining the scheme again as a new recruit of another employer. A thorough review of the withdrawal benefits in the scheme is necessary to discourage such tendencies.
9. A revision is called for in the Tables B and D under Para 14 to give effect to the changes in interest rates as also to discourage withdrawals.
10. Urgent steps should be taken to implement the Supreme Court judgment on EPS 95. This will bring in a large amount of unpaid contribution immediately.
11. The impact of the Schemes that may be developed by the approved Fund Managers after the New Pensions Regulatory Authority lays down their guidelines on the provisions of this Scheme need to be examined and the Scheme should be revised if necessary.

  
MG Diwan

Dated : 12<sup>th</sup> August 2004





Annexure -1  
Sample Data for 7<sup>th</sup> Valuation Obtained from EPS Office

Age	Number	Salary
18	51,966	16,837,116
19	58,866	25,017,894
20	74,619	43,204,191
21	90,649	62,728,863
22	97,494	84,819,377
23	115,873	118,190,207
24	121,993	146,147,818
25	148,904	186,129,375
26	162,867	218,567,429
27	144,278	206,606,082
28	146,876	226,923,488
29	128,758	213,480,266
30	123,358	220,686,824
31	120,703	231,870,992
32	126,252	255,029,717
33	126,980	272,117,821
34	122,700	278,161,216
35	128,456	305,339,643
36	114,567	281,034,040
37	111,107	277,990,258
38	109,871	283,516,109
39	107,974	285,700,487
40	96,121	262,218,910
41	106,670	300,815,151
42	117,606	351,287,774
43	121,472	376,488,322
44	119,823	378,151,233
45	97,638	317,227,262
46	95,274	314,593,755
47	115,563	399,154,688
48	95,604	344,077,605
49	99,321	359,540,796
50	93,819	355,387,945
51	82,888	315,138,318
52	79,519	310,045,442
53	75,060	296,109,967
54	71,558	289,804,476
55	47,127	186,566,948
56	44,598	190,747,378
57	30,076	134,282,132
58	28,202	122,843,287
<b>Total</b>	<b>4,153,154</b>	<b>9,856,896,654</b>

027



Annexure -2  
Valuation Data for 7<sup>th</sup> Valuation

Age	Number	Salary
18	343,934	111,434,693
19	389,596	165,578,319
20	493,856	285,942,426
21	599,949	415,164,428
22	645,251	561,368,186
23	766,892	782,229,540
24	807,399	967,264,071
25	985,502	1,231,877,831
26	1,077,918	1,446,565,705
27	954,889	1,367,400,774
28	972,084	1,501,869,406
29	852,169	1,412,896,843
30	816,430	1,460,592,697
31	798,862	1,534,613,945
32	835,588	1,687,887,548
33	840,403	1,800,983,380
34	812,078	1,840,980,958
35	850,172	2,020,858,538
36	758,253	1,859,994,441
37	735,352	1,839,849,493
38	727,834	1,877,083,598
39	714,618	1,890,878,837
40	636,169	1,735,468,468
41	705,552	1,991,575,503
42	778,360	2,324,961,446
43	803,947	2,452,038,267
44	793,036	2,502,820,620
45	646,210	2,099,535,501
46	630,559	2,082,105,915
47	764,842	2,641,763,614
48	632,743	2,277,241,692
49	657,345	2,380,245,051
50	620,934	2,352,098,328
51	548,580	2,085,710,043
52	526,291	2,052,009,998
53	496,774	1,959,772,894
54	473,598	1,918,073,752
55	311,905	1,300,957,828
56	295,170	1,262,441,601
57	199,055	868,877,080
58	186,687	885,827,819
Total	27,487,216	65,236,841,077

*M/S*



Annexure -3

Valuation Summary

Figures in Rs. Crs.

Valuation Date	Liability	Future Contributions	Fund Balance	Surplus
16.11.1996	55,566	44,380	12,875	1,689
31.03.1998	79,472	63,464	17,247	1,239
31.03.1999	99,246	77,736	22,242	732
31.03.2000	126,614	98,920	27,764	70
31.03.2001	98,285	65,119	33,123	(13)
31.03.2002	139,691	83,513	39,042	(17,136)
31.03.2003	159,005	90,671	49,043	(19,291)

mlc



Annexure -4

**EPS Data Summary Percentage of Employees in Each Age Group**

AGE GROUP	31.03.2003	31.03.2002	31.03.2001	31.03.2000	31.03.1999	31.03.1998	15.11.1996
BELOW 20	2.67	2.52	2.40	0.50	0.31	2.67	3.32
20 TO 25	12.05	12.75	13.01	5.02	5.30	8.74	10.30
25 TO 30	17.62	16.47	17.43	6.90	11.44	17.34	14.67
30 TO 35	14.93	14.54	15.03	5.90	16.46	15.99	13.54
35 TO 40	13.77	13.10	13.54	4.88	16.97	12.19	17.28
40 TO 45	13.52	13.62	14.58	52.08	15.65	12.88	15.07
45 TO 50	12.12	15.76	14.88	3.79	14.97	9.88	13.64
50 TO 55	9.70	9.43	7.53	2.39	9.75	5.42	6.10
55 TO 60	3.61	1.80	3.59	2.15	9.15	13.19	5.31
60 +	-	-	-	16.39	-	1.70	0.77
Total Nos.	27,487,477	25,572,161	23,543,290	21,922,194	23,119,390	20,171,577	14,909,984

*Handwritten mark*



Annexure -5

**Summary of Numbers of Contributing Members**

Year	15.11.1996	31.03.1998	31.03.1999	31.03.2000	31.03.2001	31.03.2002	31.03.2003
Members Start	-	14,909,984	20,171,577	23,119,390	21,922,194	23,543,290	25,572,161
New Entrants	14,909,984	3,597,940	3,271,362	3,243,002	3,175,443	3,146,498	3,737,691
Exits	-	1,052,242	1,184,850	1,802,839	1,554,347	1,996,901	1,822,636
Adjusted		3,515,895	861,301	(2,638,159)			
Members End	14,909,984	20,171,577	23,119,390	21,922,194	23,543,290	25,572,161	27,487,216

*(Handwritten signature)*



Annexure -6

Setting of Actuarial Department within EPS Office

The EPS Authorities need to maintain an actuarial cell in their head office in Delhi to take care primarily of the following functions:

Looking at the volume of data and the benefits offered by the EPS, we suggest a setting up of an Actuarial cell within EPS at the head office at Delhi. This department should have atleast one Qualified Actuary who will head the cell, with atleast ten other Actuarial students with Finance and Statistical background to help functioning the department properly.

**Database Management:** This is the most crucial area for the actuarial cell, and four Actuarial students with statistics and pension background could be put in this department. It has been seen that the quality and quantity of the data supplied till now is not satisfactory. Data supplied since last three valuation was not more than 20%. This is not the right size of sample to project the data, unless this sample is representative of population. Continuous effort is to be made to keep database update as meaningful analysis could be done with on this data. Knowledge about Pension and statistical background will help persons updating the data with proper understanding. The Asset database also can be managed by the same cell, this cell will look at the number of organizations and contributions to be received from them.

**Investigation on Mortality and Withdrawal rates :** As pension benefits depend on both pre and post service mortality rates, and looking at large number of membership data, it is advisable to use the scheme specific rates. Data can be obtained to make analysis on the mortality rates. Similarly withdrawal rates are important for analysis as large portion of liability is arising out of withdrawal rates. We suggest another four people for this cell, as specially with statistical background, or person from any insurance company with experience in the mortality investigation.

**Investment :** Pension is a long term liability and assets are to be held for as long as fifty to sixty years. There are some short term liabilities also. A matching of

M/S. L. A. PANDIT  
CONSULTANTS & ACTUARIES



*A Milliman Global Firm*

investment to liability is essential to maximize the fund. This either could be given to external agencies and monitored internally or could be done in-house. A person with pension and investment background is ideal for such jobs, you may have two employees in this cell.

Actuarial students are available with different level of qualifications, initially you may have a combination of students who have passed few subjects from 100 series, who have completed 100 series and have passed few papers from 300 series of Actuarial Society of India, or are holding a Post Graduate Diploma in Actuarial Science from a recognized University.

You may start this cell immediately, under a qualified actuary , or take a help from a consultant to set up an Actuarial department within EPS. A regular training program initially to brief the Actuarial staff can be organized to brief them on Past and Current Position of EPS.

my